## City of Scottsbluff, Nebraska Monday, March 13, 2017 Regular Meeting

## Item Appr. Min.1

## **Approve Minutes of 2/13/17 Meeting**

Staff Contact: Annie Folck

1 2 3 4 5	Planning Commission Minutes Regular Scheduled Meeting February 13, 2017 Scottsbluff, Nebraska
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Planning Commission of the City of Scottsbluff, Nebraska met in a regular scheduled meeting on Monday, February, 2017, 6:00 p.m. in the City Hall Council Chambers, 2525 Circle Drive, Scottsbluff, Nebraska. A notice of the meeting had been published in the Star-Herald, a newspaper of general circulation in the City, on February 3, 2017. The notice stated the date, hour and place of the meeting, that the meeting would be open to the public, that anyone with a disability desiring reasonable accommodation to attend the Planning Commission meeting should contact the Development Services Department, and that an agenda of the meeting kept continuously current was available for public inspection at Development Services Department office; provided, the City Planning Commission could modify the agenda at the meeting if the business was determined that an emergency so required. A similar notice, together with a copy of the agenda, also had been delivered to each Planning Commission member. An agenda kept continuously current was available for public inspection at the office of the Development at all times from publication to the time of the meeting.
20 21 22 23 24 25	<b>ITEM 1:</b> Chairman Becky Estrada called the meeting to order. Roll call consisted of the following members: Anita Chadwick, Angie Aguallo, David Gompert, Jim Zitterkopf, Callan Wayman, Mark Westphal, Henry Huber, and Becky Estrada. Absent: Dana Weber. City officials present: Annie Folck, Planning Coordinator, Gary Batt, Code Administrator II, Jordan Diedrich, Administrative Services Coordinator, and Anthony Murphy, Fire Prevention Officer.
23 26 27 28 29	<b>ITEM 2</b> : Chairman Estrada informed all those present of the Nebraska Open Meetings Act and that a copy of such is posted on bookcase in the back area of the City Council Chamber, for those interested parties.
30	ITEM 3: Acknowledgment of any changes in the agenda: None
31 32	ITEM 4: Business not on agenda: None
	ITEM 5: Citizens with items not scheduled on regular agenda: None
35 36 37 38 39 40	<b>ITEM 6:</b> The minutes of the January 9th meeting were reviewed. <b>Conclusion:</b> A motion was made by Zitterkopf and seconded by Gompert to approve the minutes for the January 9 <sup>th</sup> meeting. <b>"YEAS":</b> Estrada, Aguallo, Huber, Gompert, Zitterkopf, and Chadwick. <b>"NAYS":</b> None <b>Abstain:</b> Westphal, Wayman <b>Absent:</b> Weber
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	<b>ITEM 7A:</b> The Planning Commission opened a public hearing for the review of a Preliminary Plat for Hilltop Estates. Annie Folck gave a staff review of the project, stating that it included 41 residential lots in 4 blocks. The lots are configured to allow some existing structures to remain in place after the property is divided. The lots will be large for a residential area, varying from 15,000-25,000 square feet, with the majority around 25,000 sq ft. The streets are proposed to have a 52' right of way, with the roadway 32' wide from back to curb to back of curb. Because of the relatively narrow street width, some parking restrictions are expected to ensure that emergency vehicles always have access throughout the subdivision. Parking will not be allowed on both sides of the street. Because the lots are so big, adequate off-street parking should be provided on each lot.
50 51 52 53 54	The City also is going to be working with the developer on stormwater retention. In the past, developers have been required to provide retention on-site, and historically, those retention areas have not been well-maintained. Once the lots of a subdivision are sold off, there is typically no one who wants to retain responsibility for maintaining the retention areas long-term, and homeowners' associations have not been

successful in the community. Due to new regulatory requirements through the City's NPDES MS4
(municipal separate storm sewer system) permit, the City will soon be responsible for ensuring that all of

57 these retention areas are inspected and maintained on a regular basis. In order to alleviate the maintenance burden on developers and the City, and to minimize the amount of developable land that 58 59 must be sacrificed in order to create these retention areas, the City is pursuing a new policy that would 60 allow developers to direct stormwater runoff to regional retention facilities that would be owned and maintained by the City. In return for accepting their stormwater, the City would charge developers an 61 impact fee at the time that a subdivision is developed. Hilltop Estates will be the first subdivision that will 62 63 use this model. There are three sub-basins within the drainage area. While it would be the City's preference for all of the runoff to drain to regional facilities, due to the very flat gradation of the property, 64 there will be one small on-site retention area. The rest of the runoff will be directed south to the existing 65 66 retention basin south of the SWBC building, and north to a retention basin that will be constructed on City property next to the soccer complex. 67 68 69 Jack Baker, the engineer on the project, stated that the project would be for single family homes on large lots, about ½ acre on average. He also stated that they were also planning on constructing a lift station 70 for the sanitary sewer. The street layout would have two outlets onto 42<sup>nd</sup> St and connect to the existing 71 12<sup>th</sup> on the south. Commissioner Westphal asked if a storm sewer lift pump would be necessary. Baker 72 stated that they would be working with the City to determine if it would be necessary or not. They are 73 aware of historical drainage issues along 42<sup>nd</sup> St, and he thought that the construction of a large retention 74 75 area should help with some of those issues. Also, as the area develops, he thought that the street may be redone with curb and gutter in the future as well. Bill Trumbull asked if the retention area could be 76 sized to take some of the 42<sup>nd</sup> St runoff. Baker stated that they would work with the City on that, but 77 currently they were looking at sizing the retention area just for the subdivision. Commissioner Gompert 78 asked how the street width would compare to those on 4th Avenue, because there are some issues with 79 lane widths in that area. Baker stated that he wasn't sure of the street width on 4<sup>th</sup> Ave, but that the larger 80 lot sizes would accommodate much more off-street parking than in older parts of town, so parking should 81 not be as much of an issue. He stated that the street width would be similar to other newer subdivisions 82 in town, such as Five Oaks. Commissioner Westphal asked if trash service would be provided with roll-off 83 84 containers, since there was no alley. Hunter Kosman, owner of the project, responded that they were planning on roll-off containers. Westphal also asked how lift stations for sanitary sewer have worked 85 historically. Baker stated that the lift station will meet all state standards and will be built to have capacity 86

in the structure that would be based on the design flow. There will be backup generators to ensure that it
would still run during power outages, and there would be two pumps there that would run alternately so

- that if one went out, the other would still be able to handle the flows. The City has other lift stations
- 90 around town and makes sure they are well-maintained.
- 91

92 Carr Trumbull, the owner of the property to the northeast, addressed the Planning Commission, stating 93 that while he had no objections to the subdivision, he did have some concerns about the drainage in the area. Historically, there used to be bar ditches on both sides of 42<sup>nd</sup> Street that would carry the runoff to 94 the east and south. Over time, the County allowed the bar ditch on the south side to be filled in with no 95 culverts, forcing runoff to the north side of the road. In 2011 large rains filled the bar pits and runoff 96 backed up into the roadway before flooding the properties on the north side of the road. He was 97 concerned about the overflow from the retention pond and where it might go. He would like to see the 98 City and County find a way to alleviate drainage problems on 42<sup>nd</sup> St from the proposed subdivision 99 location to Sugar Factory Road. He stated that this area is the logical next developable property for the 100 101 City. He was concerned that there is no written policy for the regional retention basins, since City policy 102 can change when City staff changes. Folck stated that the City is working on a written policy and hopes 103 to have that in place before this property is final platted. Trumbull asked if the City works with the County 104 in areas that are the City's zoning jurisdiction, but outside of City limits. Mark Bohl responded that they 105 do work with the County all the time, and that they are aware of the drainage issues on 42<sup>nd</sup> St. He worked with Bob Bennet, County Roads Superintendent, to work on improving drainage in this area after 106 the 2011 flooding, and that he would follow up with the County to look at more ways to resolve the 107 drainage issues. 108

109

110 Commissioner Wayman asked how deep the retention pond would have to be, and if a stormwater lift

station would be necessary. Baker answered that the property on the north side of 42<sup>nd</sup> St is lower than the road, so excavation would be needed for capacity, but otherwise there shouldn't have to be much

113 change in elevation. The retention area would also be designed to be expandable to serve future developments in the area. Westphal stated that he would like the City to consider a 2<sup>nd</sup> retention area 114 115 that could accommodate emergency overflow. 116 117 Conclusion: A motion was made by Aguallo and seconded by Chadwick to recommend approval of the Hilltop Estates Preliminary Plat. 118 119 120 "YEAS": Aquallo, Westphal, Wayman, Gompert, Huber, Chadwick, and Estrada. "NAYS": None. 121 ABSTAIN: Zitterkopf. ABSENT: Weber. Motion carried. 122 **ITEM 7B:** The Planning Commission opened a public hearing to consider the One and Six Year Street 123 Plan. Mark Bohl addressed the commission, stating that the only project on the One Year plan was 42<sup>nd</sup> 124 Street from Ave I to the Soccer Complex. This project is over 6,600 linear feet. In order to complete the 125 project, the City is planning on annexing the north half of the street. The County has sent the City a letter 126 requesting that the City annex this right-of-way. The project will include total removal of the current 127 surface with new curb and gutter, storm sewer system, and a 3 lane roadway (two through lanes with a 128 center turn lane). They should have this project ready to bid by late summer. There is no more federal 129 funding for roadways in communities, so the City is taking advantage of federal funding for pathways, but 130 will not be receiving any for street improvements. The 42<sup>nd</sup> St project will probably be bonded. 131 Commissioner Westphal asked if the project would be asphalt or concrete. Bohl stated that they were 132 proposing all concrete, with the roadway 41' from back of curb to back of curb, and the project would also 133 include sidewalks and lighting. 134 135 136 The rest of the projects that are listed in the 6 year plan have no funding source. Bohl stated that if funding comes available for any of the other projects, he can move them up on the list. He also stated 137 that the streets for the Hilltop Estates subdivision are not included in the plan at this time because the 138 property is currently outside of City limits, but if the property is annexed and the developer wants to move 139 140 forward with the project, the City can add that project to this list at that time. 141 142 **Conclusion:** A motion was made by Gompert and seconded by Wayman to recommend approval of the 143 2017 One and Six Year Street Plan. 144 "YEAS": Aquallo, Westphal, Wayman, Gompert, Huber, Chadwick, Zitterkopf, and Estrada. "NAYS": 145 None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: Weber. Motion carried. 146 147 148 149 ITEM 8: Unfinished Business: None. 150 151 There being no further business, a motion to adjourn was made by Westphal and seconded by Aguallo. The meeting was adjourned at 6:40 p.m. "YEAS": Aquallo, Zitterkopf, Gompert, Westphal, Wayman, 152 Huber, Chadwick, and Estrada. "NAYS": None. ABSTAIN: None. ABSENT: Weber. Motion carried. 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 Becky Estrada, Chairperson 161 162 Attest:

163 Annie Folck